

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/824,781	04/04/2001	Marko Vanska	4208-4007	4818
27123 7	590 10/14/2004		EXAMINER	
MORGAN & FINNEGAN, L.L.P. 3 WORLD FINANCIAL CENTER		AVELLINO, JOSEPH E		
NEW YORK, NY			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•		•	2143	

DATE MAILED: 10/14/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



		Application No.	Applicant(s)	- CX			
Office Action Summany			VANSKA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit				
_		Joseph E. Avellino	2143				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	n appears on the cover sheet wil	h the correspondence address				
THE Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicatic period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a report. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become AB.	pply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ation.			
Status		•					
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	04 April 2001.					
		This action is non-final.					
3)	<u>, </u>						
	closed in accordance with the practice un	der <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4) 🖂	Claim(s) 1-34 is/are pending in the application	ation.					
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wit						
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			4			
	Claim(s) 1-34 is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	and/or election requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner					
,	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)		ov the Examiner.				
,	Applicant may not request that any objection to						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	= ' '	, ,	21(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ne Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152	2.			
Priority :	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	reign priority under 35 LLS C. &	119(a)-(d) or (f)				
	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	isign phoney andor by 5.5.5.	170(a) (a) 01 (i).	į,			
,	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	ments have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority docur		oplication No.				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the	-	· -				
	application from the International B						
* (See the attached detailed Office action for	a list of the certified copies not i	eceived.				
Attachmen		∧ □					
2) Notice	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94	8) Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date				
3) 🖾 Infor Pape	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S er No(s)/Mail Date <u>4/ル</u> /の1 , 10/3/の1 , スピコリのカラス	B/08), 5) □ Notice of In 117/04, 2/24/09 6) □ Other:	formal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
S. Patent and T	rademark Office	ice Action Summary	Part of Paner No /Mail Date 200/	41015			

Art Unit: 2143

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-34 are presented for examination with claims 1 and 34 independent.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-18, 21-25, 31-32, and 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Doi et al. (US 2001/0014911) (hereinafter Doi).

3. Referring to claim 1, Doi discloses a method of managing user privacy in a network environment (e.g. abstract), comprising:

recognizing one or more service opportunities (the Office takes the term "service opportunities" as any point of presence which can provide access to a user) of a service operator on a user device operated by a user (e.g. abstract);

determining a privacy level (i.e. user identifiable or user anonymous) at which communications is conducted with a service operator (Figures 11-12; p. 6, ¶ 67-73);

Art Unit: 2143

conducting the communications with the service operator at the privacy level (Figures 11-12; p. 6, \P 67-73).

- 4. Referring to claims 2 and 3, Doi discloses the user device is a Bluetooth enabled wireless communications device which automatically discovers service opportunities (it is well known in the art that Bluetooth devices automatically search for new service opportunities) (p. 2, ¶ 34).
- 5. Referring to claim 4, Doi discloses the recognizing comprises anonymously obtaining information relating to the one or more service opportunities (pp. 2-3, ¶ 36).
- 6. Referring to claim 5, Doi discloses the information relating to the one or more service opportunities comprises a service category (i.e. user identifiable or user anonymous, location dependent or location independent) (Figure 7; p. 5, ¶ 55-62).
- 7. Referring to claims 6 and 18, Doi discloses allowing the service provider to obtain access to a subset of profile information of the user according to the service category (i.e. user anonymous would not obtain the user identifier from the profile) (pp. 2-3, ¶ 37-39).
- 8. Referring to claim 7, Doi discloses providing personalized service to the user according to the subset of profile information (i.e. location dependent data is transmitted

in the dynamic profile in order to provide the user with personalized service relating to the location of the user) (p. 3, \P 43).

- 9. Referring to claim 8, Doi discloses obtain a subset of profile information of the user according the requested viewpoint (the Office takes the term "viewpoint" as any visual key in order to differentiate this point from any other point, such as traffic directions) (p. 5, ¶ 61).
- 10. Claim 9 is rejected for similar reasons as stated above.
- 11. Referring to claim 10, Doi discloses the privacy level includes Anonymous (i.e. if the user ID is never transmitted, then it is considered Anonymous transmission) (pp. 2-3, ¶ 37).
- 12. Referring to claim 11, Doi discloses the determining a privacy level determines a privacy level based on the nature of the service negotiations with the service operator (i.e. if the user wishes to access location dependent information, it is required to provide the location of the user) (p. 3, ¶ 43).
- 13. Referring to claim 12, Doi discloses the privacy level is based upon one or more prior transactions with the specific service operator (i.e. if the user has authorized the use of user identification, then the user is unable to proceed back to the anonymous

Art Unit: 2143

24.40

transactions, because they have already authenticated themselves to the system)
(Figure 12 and related portions of the disclosure).

Page 5

- 14. Referring to claim 13, Doi discloses the determining a privacy level determines a privacy level based on the identity of the service operator (i.e. if the service operator is location dependent, then it must be known where the user is located) (Figure 1; pp. 2-3, ¶ 36-39).
- 15. Referring to claim 14, Doi discloses the determining a privacy level determines a privacy level based on user-defined parameters (Figure 2, and related portions of the disclosure).
- 16. Claim 15 is rejected for similar reasons as stated above.
- 17. Referring to claim 16, Doi discloses obtaining a user identifier (i.e. temporary ID) to conduct pseudonymous communications with the service operator relating to the one or more service opportunities (Figure 3, and related portions of the disclosure).
- 18. Claim 17 is rejected for similar reasons as stated above.
- 19. Referring to claim 21, Doi discloses:

 determining a profile access level (Figure 2);

transmitting the profile access level to the service operator (Figures 2-3 and related portions of the disclosure);

wherein the service operator obtains a subset of profile information from a profile operator according to the profile access level (Figure 3).

- 20. Claim 22 is rejected for similar reasons as stated above.
- 21. Referring to claim 23, Doi discloses determining a profile access determines the profile access level based upon a prior arrangement between the service operator and the user (i.e. determining whether to use a static or dynamic user profile) (Figures 8A-B; p. 5, ¶ 58-61).
- 22. Referring to claim 24, Doi discloses updating the profile information of the user (Figure 3).
- 23. Referring to claim 25, Doi discloses updating the profile information based upon user information provided by the service operator (i.e. updating location information in a user profile) (Figure 3).
- 24. Referring to claim 31, Doi discloses the user device is a mobile wireless device (Figure 6).

Application/Control Number: 09/824,781 Page 7

Art Unit: 2143

25. Referring to claim 32, Doi discloses receiving service from the service operator (e.g. abstract).

26. Claim 34 is rejected for similar reasons as stated above.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 27. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 28. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 19, 26-28 and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Doi.

29. Referring to claims 19 and 26, Doi discloses the invention substantively as described in the claims above. Doi remains silent upon compensation for obtaining profile information from the user as well as the service provider providing information to the user. However it is well known that third-party advertising servers can pay to obtain mailing lists from companies in order to track advertising for its customers. It is also well known that GPS companies can have subscription services in order to provide users information. By this rationale it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the system as described in Doi to include compensation to further enhance commerce and business tactics.

- 30. Referring to claim 27, Doi discloses the invention substantively as described in claim 24, Doi does not disclose tracking user activity on the user device, however it is well known that servers can use tracking cookies in order to track users throughout their website, and in order for advertisers to determine which advertisements to transmit to the user. By this rationale it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to update the dynamic user profile of Doi to include tracking information in order to track users using the system as well as for logging and security systems, which is well known as being under constant attacks from hackers.
- 31. Referring to claim 28, Doi discloses the invention substantively as described in claim 1. Doi does not specifically disclose the service opportunities recognized by the user are dynamically changed by the service provider. However, Doi does disclose that

Art Unit: 2143

the invention can be used in a high speed mobile object such as an automobile, car, etc. (p. 6, ¶ 75), and it is well known that multiple cell towers and GPS satellites are used in order to track a user throughout an area, thereby it would be obvious that the service opportunities (i.e. the wireless gateways would change as the object moves around) would dynamically change in order to compensate for the high rate of speed of the object, thereby allowing the user to stay in communication with the system.

32. Referring to claim 30, Doi discloses the invention substantively as described in claim 1. Doi does not specifically disclose the system communicates across a personal area network. However it is well known that Bluetooth devices, such as described in Doi are compatible with the system, are used in a personal area network to communicate devices close to the user. By this rationale it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to allow the user to communicate through a PAN to allow devices close in proximity to communicate while not interfering with other devices further away.

Claim 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Doi in view of Rajchel et al. (USPN 6,496,931) (hereinafter Rajchel).

Doi discloses the invention substantively as described in claim 18. Doi does not disclose the profile information is remotely located from the user device. In analogous art, Rajchel discloses another method of managing user privacy wherein the service

Art Unit: 2143

provider obtains the profie information (i.e. user information record) from a profile operator remotely located form the user device (Figure 3, 43 and related portions of the disclosure). It would be obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of Rajchel with Doi to allow a web site operator the ability to track meaningful information regarding the user without compromising the identity of the user as supported by Rajchel (col. 3, lines 18-25).

Claim 33 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Doi in view of Carothers et al. (US 2002/0069117) (hereinafter Carothers).

34. Doi discloses the invention substantively as described in claim 32. Doi does not disclose payment for the service obtained by the user is conducted anonymously. In analogous art, Carothers discloses another method of managing user privacy wherein payment for the service obtained by the user is conducted anonymously (p. 1, \P 9). It would be obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of Carothers with Doi in order to allow consumers the ability to barter with one another without needing to be physically present as supported by Carothers (p. 1, \P 9).

Claim 29 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Doi in view of Owen et al. (USPN 6,611,501) (hereinafter Owen).

35. Doi discloses the invention substantively as described in claim 28. Doi does not specifically disclose the service opportunities are dynamically changed by the service provider according to profile information of the user. In analogous art, Owen discloses service opportunities are dynamically changed by the service provider according to profile information of the user (col. 4, lines 35-55). It would be obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of Owens with Doi in order to provide a mutually beneficial connection between two entities in order for enhanced communication between the computers as supported by Owens (col. 4, lines 59-64).

Conclusion

- 36. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 37. Maeda et al. (USPN 6,226,589) discloses providing guiding information for use in detecting and accessing a mobile object.
- 38. Shmueli et al. (US 2002/0147912) discloses preference portability for computing.
- 39. Schwartz et al. (USPN 6,473,609) discloses interactive two-way communication devices to interact with a network.
- 40. Montenegro (USPN 6,571,289) discloses chained registration for mobile IP.
- 41. Luciani (US 2002/0107634) discloses traffic monitoring system and method.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph E. Avellino whose telephone number is (703) 305-7855. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 7:00-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David A. Wiley can be reached on (703) 308-5221. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JEA September 30, 2004

BUNLIO BLAROENCHONWANIT